

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/072,669	02/05/2002	Thomas K. Tcheng	N12-01	3280
26876 7.	590 11/24/2003		EXAMI	NER
NEUROPAC	•		GETZOW, SCOTT M	
MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94043			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3762	/
			DATE MAILED: 11/24/2003	$\mathcal{L}$

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/072,669	TCHENG ET AL.	
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Scott M. Getzow	3762	.1
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap			<u>ນ .</u> ; ⊶
Period for Reply		-	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu.  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a repolation of thirty divill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT te, cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communi  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.
Responsive to communication(s) filed on		·	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		ers, prosecution as to the me	rits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde			
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.	-	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-20,24-32 and 35-45</u> is/are reje			
7) Claim(s) <u>3,21-23,33 and 34</u> is/are objected to			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers	or election requirement.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	nor		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		e Fyaminer	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in r			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in Ap	plication No	
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International B</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	е
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional appl	ication).
<ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign language p</li> <li>15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes</li> </ul>			
Attachment(s)			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> </ol>	5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152	
S. Patent and Trademark Office			

Application/Control Number: 10/072,669

Art Unit: 3762

## Claim R j ctions - 35 USC § 103

Page 2

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1,2,4,5,7,9-12,14,16-20,24-32,35,36,38,40-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barrett et al '038.

Barrett renders obvious all of the subject matter of the above claims. Specifically, Barrett teaches an implantable neurostimulator which can be programmed via an external programmer, see column 3, lines 38-42. Also, see column 5, lines 33+, and column 6, lines 12-17, for a discussion of the ability to detect movement and to automatically cause treatment pulses to stimulate nerves to alleviate the movement disorder. The step of ceasing treatment delivery, as claimed by applicant, is considered to be obvious in light of the fact that it makes sense to stop treatment if it is no longer necessary in order to preserve battery power. Further, the placement of the device, as claimed in claim 28, is considered to be intended use and not a structural limitation.

3. Claims 6,8,13,15,37,39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barrett et al '038 as applied to claims 1,9,10,29-31 above, and further in view of Stein.

Application/Control Number: 10/072,669 Page 3

Art Unit: 3762

Barrett teaches all of the subject matter of the above claims except for the explicit mention of using a drug in place of electrical stimulation. Stein teaches the application of a drug to alleviate neurologically based disorders of a patient. It would have been obvious to use drug treatment with the device of Barrett since such treatment has been shown to be effective in alleviating symptoms associated with movement disorders.

## Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 3,21-23,33,34 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott M. Getzow whose telephone number is (703) 308-2997. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela D. Sykes can be reached on (703) 308-5181. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Scott M. Getzow

Application/Control Number: 10/072,669

Art Unit: 3762

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3762

smg

Page 4